

Protecting the Public's Trust in Government

The Importance of Internal Controls over Misappropriation of Government Assets

By: Michael A. Crawford, CPA



Mike Crawford, CPA, is chairman emeritus of Crawford & Associates, P.C., an Oklahoma City-based CPA firm dedicated to state and local government accounting and consulting. Crawford is a past president of the Oklahoma Society of CPAs, an inductee into the Oklahoma Accounting Hall of Fame, and is past vice-chairman of the Governmental Accounting Standards Advisory Council. Over the past 30 years, he has authored a number of professional guides, practice aids and articles on topics of governmental accounting, auditing and ethics. Although Crawford is retired from active practice, he continues to serve others as an author, consultant and public speaker.

It seems as though every other day, media report instances of wrong doing or lapse in moral judgment by government officials or employees. What can we do to put ourselves in a better position to prevent or detect such actions before they become a detriment to public trust? The key to preventing and detecting misappropriation of assets lies in the proper design and implementation of an effective system of internal control. A system of internal control is put in place to keep the government on course toward its goals and achievement of its mission, while at the same time minimizing surprises along the way.

Internal control is broadly defined as an entity's process, affected by the entity's board, management and personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of certain objectives. In the context of financial management, these control processes should provide reasonable assurance that reliable and fairly presented financial statements will be prepared, that there will be compliance with financial-related laws and regulations, and that the government's assets will be adequately safeguarded.

However, internal control is not a panacea. The internal control process can help an entity achieve its objectives. Yet no matter how well-designed and implemented, it can only provide reasonable — not absolute — assurance of achieving those objectives. For example, although controls may be adequately designed and in place, control objectives may still be unachieved

resulting from: (1) simple errors and mistakes, (2) faulty judgments in decision making, (3) circumvention by collusion and (4) management override of controls. Finally, the design of internal controls must be considered within the context of resource constraints and cost effectiveness.

Design an effective system of internal control.

Internal control processes can generally be classified into one of the following five components of an integrated internal control framework:

1. **Control environment:** The tone of the organization influencing the control consciousness of its people, such as a well-communicated and understood code of conduct, an effective management style and interest in controls by the governing body.
2. **Risk assessment:** The identification and analysis of relevant risks to achieving the control objectives, such as risks of noncompliance with legal spending requirements and risks of misappropriation of assets for personal use.
3. **Control activities:** Policies and procedures that help ensure actions are taken to address the identified risks, such as effective policies and procedures related to the segregation of incompatible duties, authorization and processing of purchase documents and controls over access to cash and certain other assets.

(GOVERNMENT cont. 10)

(GOVERNMENT from 8)

4. Information and communication:

The information and communication systems, both manual and automated, that make it possible to operate, control and report the entity's activities, such as an effective information technology systems, sufficient internal and external reporting systems and proper channels of internal and external communications.

5. Monitoring: The on-going monitoring and evaluation of the effectiveness of the other four components of the internal control framework through internal and external audit activities and governing body and management oversight; a well-recognized system of monitoring can also be an effective deterrent to misappropriation of assets.

For internal controls to be properly designed and effectively operated, each of these five integrated elements must be working together. The evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of internal controls should be focused on the identification of control objectives, the specific risks associated with achieving those objectives and the internal controls designed to minimize those risks. In other words, you should define (1) what we want to accomplish, (2) what could go wrong and (3) what should be done about it.

For example, one of the objectives of internal controls over misappropriation of assets (what we want to accomplish) is to ensure that all revenue collected is properly deposited and not misappropriated. When evaluating the effectiveness of internals in regards to this control objective, you would consider what specific risks exist that could result in not achieving the control objective (what could go wrong) and then identify the specific internal controls needed to minimize those risks (what we should do about it). This process is illustrated in the table above right.

It is important to note that in the above example, the risks of not achieving

Control Objective (what we want to accomplish): Ensure that all revenue collected is properly recorded, deposited and not misappropriated.	
Specific Risks (what could go wrong)	Controls to Minimize the Risks (what should be done about it)
Cash receipts could be intentionally misappropriated and not recorded or deposited.	<p>Establish proper segregation of duties by assigning cash collections duties to individuals not involved in the billing, adjustment, and posting processes.</p> <p>Implement a daily cash drawer balancing process performed or witnessed by an individual not involved in the cash collection process.</p> <p>Compare daily cash postings in the revenue or receipt subsidiary ledgers with supporting cash receipts and the actual amount of cash collected and deposited.</p>
Cash receipts from one customer could be inappropriately applied to another customer's accounts.	Review aged accounts receivable reports on a timely basis and follow up on old or unusual outstanding balances.
Cash receipts may not be protected from unauthorized access.	<p>Use locking cash drawers and safes and ensure cash drawers and safes are locked when not in use.</p> <p>Make deposits on a daily basis and only keep minimal amounts in a safe or vault overnight.</p>

the control objective of ensuring that all revenue collected is properly deposited and not misappropriated are addressed with internal control processes that specifically respond to each risk. This type of approach to identifying and addressing specific risks is the most effective way to prevent and detect misappropriation of assets in government from the perspective of the design of internal controls.

Establish key controls.

While the design and implementation of an effective system of internal control requires a thorough evaluation of control objectives and risks, there are certain broad "key" types of controls that should be considered in the design of controls over misappropriation of assets. These controls include:

- Authorization and approval: Controls over billing, receipting and spending that involve delegation of authority with specified limitations and approval requirements (e.g., identifying who is authorized to make certain purchases or authorize billing adjustments, setting limits where advance approval is needed, indicating who must review and sign documents for evidence of approval, etc.).
- Security over access: Controls over access to cash and other assets susceptible to theft, purchase authorization documents, signature stamps, checks and computer system processes that safeguard assets

(GOVERNMENT cont. 35)

(GOVERNMENT from 10)

from loss or misappropriation (e.g. maintaining locked safes, cash drawers and frequently changing computer access codes).

- Segregation of duties: Controls that do not put a single individual in a position to be able to commit a fraud or misappropriate resources and then be able to conceal it (e.g. preventing the same individual from billing, collecting and posting utility revenue; or placing an order for goods or services, acknowledging the receipt of those goods, and also authorizing payment).
- Review and oversight: Controls that provide sufficient monitoring over revenue and expenditure activities, the reconciliation and investigation of unresolved questions and differences, and the ultimate resolution of those questions or differences (e.g. a comparison of budget and actual amounts to look for unexplained variances, periodic internal audits, etc.).

Identify and address fraud risks.

Identifying fraud is difficult because, unlike identifying errors in judgment or application, fraud involves an attempt to conceal. Therefore, it is important to be alert to certain conditions that may be present in an organization that could heighten the risk of fraudulent

activity. Popular guidance in the area of fraud awareness indicates that most frauds contain all of the following three elements:

1. Motive or pressure: The reason an individual decides to engage in fraudulent behavior. Examples include unmanageable personal financial obligations, excessive gambling or other addictive vices, adverse employment relationships or living beyond one's means.
2. Opportunity: The condition that provides an individual the ability to perpetrate the fraud. Examples include unrestricted access to cash or other assets, inadequate segregation of incompatible duties, inadequate monitoring or oversight, or records are in disarray and difficult to follow or trace.
3. Rationalization: The mindset of the individual that allows him or her to justify fraudulent actions. Examples include employee displeasure or dissatisfaction with job or compensation, or revenge for unfair treatment, just a temporary borrowing that will be paid back, "everyone does it so it's not a big deal" or "no harm, no foul."

To enhance your ability to identify fraud in an organization, you must understand these three elements and

constantly be alert for evidence of their existence and watch for warning signs or red flags of potential fraud.

Identify potential fraud red flags.

Indicators of a heightened risk of fraud resulting in misappropriation of government assets could include the following red flags that should not be discounted or overlooked:

- Employees are scared of superiors and there is evidence of management override. In other words, management bypasses controls or overrides lower-level decisions for personal gain;
- Employees do not take or refuse to take vacations or extended periods of time off or carry unusually high unused leave balances;
- Employees with fraud opportunities exhibit evidence of fraud motives or pressures, such as unusual behavior, personal financial problems, excessive gambling or living beyond their means;
- The daily balancing of cash drawer shows consistent differences, especially in even dollar amounts;
- Bank deposits are not being made on a timely and consistent basis;
- Bank statements are difficult to reconcile to the accounting records or consistently have unreconciled differences;
- IRS notices arrive for untimely tax

INNOVATION. PROFESSIONALISM. COMMITMENT.



Trailblazers honors the innovation, professional dedication and community commitment of the OSCPAs' New CPAs. The OSCPAs accept nominations for Trailblazers during the summer and the fall.

To nominate someone who you think is a talented new CPA, e-mail the person's name and employer (company name) to OSCPAs Communications Director Amy Welch at awelch@oscpa.com. For questions or comments, call (800) 522-8261, ext. 3806 or visit www.oscpa.com/?1175.

Never Underestimate the Value.



- deposits or failure to make required deposits;
- Communications are received from regulatory authorities regarding noncompliance;
- Unexplained budget and actual variances for revenues or expenditures exist;
- Certain transactions are subjected to special handling outside normal policies and procedures;
- Key purchasing or payment documentation is lacking or does not exist, such as no evidence of receiving advices;
- Invoices are faxed, only in photocopy form, or appear altered;
- Vendors have only post office box addresses;
- Contracts or invoices are in amounts just under the dollar threshold that would require bidding or pre-approval;
- Frequent exceptions or waivers are made to competitive bidding requirements;
- There is evidence of excessive use of sole source purchases or certain vendors appear to consistently obtain all or an extraordinary share of the business;
- Payments are made to unfamiliar employees or terminated employees;
- Family relationships exist within the same entity or department where

unusual or questionable spending has occurred; or

- Tips or complaints regarding misappropriation of assets or fraud are ignored or not investigated.

When any of these fraud red flags are present, they must not be overlooked. Appropriate follow up is needed to ensure they are not indicators of an actual fraud.

Learn tips for preventing or detecting fraud.

Even the best of internal controls may not be sufficient to prevent or detect fraudulent activities because the individual(s) perpetrating the fraud are also doing their best to conceal the fraud. Therefore, it is especially important to be alert to the indicators of potential fraudulent activities. The following guidance will help you be more alert to potential fraud and enhance your ability to prevent or detect it.

1. **Do not just go through the motions.** Avoid the work mentality of just performing steps in a process without thinking about what you are doing. Supervisors should reinforce with employees the need to pay attention to their tasks and the consequences for failure to be responsible in carrying out those tasks.
2. **Don't fall into the "see no evil; hear no evil" trap.** Avoid putting blind

Payroll and HR Solutions

Do More With Our Single Database Technology

Paycom's SaaS-based system operates from one database providing a single-application solution where you can:

- Consolidate your payroll and HR systems
- Enter employee information once for all services
- Streamline your payroll and HR processes
- Improve reporting accuracy and capabilities
- Automate Time and Attendance, COBRA Compliance and Benefit Communication to Carriers

Request a Demo Today at
www.PaycomOnline.com or call 800-580-4505.

Professional Partner of

trust in any individual, thereby failing to recognize or acknowledge fraud warning signs or red flags. Realize that anyone can commit fraud and, when faced with warning signs, prove to yourself that it is not fraud.

3. **Beware of the king.** Look out for positional immunity, or upper level management or the governing body rationalizing that rules or controls don't apply to them because of their position. These conditions generally present themselves as management override of existing processes or controls. Identify someone within or outside the organization to whom you can report such activities without jeopardizing your job.
4. **Don't succumb to "new kid on the block" syndrome.** Don't give into to the thinking that new employees are not yet competent in their position and therefore not in a position to question why certain things are happening. New employees are generally not prejudiced by past policies, procedures and practices. Supervisors should take all employee questions seriously, and employees doing the questioning should question more than just a single individual.
- 5 **Avoid a lack-of-time rationalization.** Beware of workload overload and do not use this excuse to rationalize why designed internal controls cannot be followed. For example, it may take more time to reconcile differences noted in bank reconciliations, but that

reconciliation is essential to managing fraud risks. When faced with workload overload, reevaluate assignment of duties and, if necessary, demand more resources by explaining the consequences of fraud.

6. **Beware of those who say, "Don't invade my space."** Beware of employees who do not want any other individual performing their tasks or learning what they do. Encourage cross-training, periodic rotation of duties and mandatory vacations for all employees and positions.
7. **Don't accept that it must not be for your eyes.** Be concerned when you are denied access to requested records that support the work to which you are assigned; report such activities and lack of openness to appropriate supervisors and do not give up on the unfulfilled request.
8. **Don't rationalize by thinking it's none of your business.** Don't look the other way when faced with signs of fraudulent or unethical behavior by rationalizing that the activities are none of your business. Work to create an environment within the organization that fosters ethical and responsible behavior and the reporting of lapses in such behavior.
9. **Don't think it's over your head.** Avoid failing to question activities, events or transactions that appear unusual because you feel you do not fully understand the situation or circumstances. Individuals involved

in fraudulent activities often rely on the complexity of the circumstances to help them conceal such fraud. Continue to educate yourself and ask for simplification in reports and explanations.

10. **Realize there's often a bad apple in the bunch.** Even with the best of internal controls, some people are just morally challenged and are looking for ways to commit fraud or improperly benefit themselves or gain an advantage. Do your due diligence in hiring employees by learning as much as possible about their background and ethics and always be on the lookout for the bad apple.

Government officials are entrusted with public resources and are responsible for carrying out public functions efficiently, economically, effectively and ethically, while achieving desired program objectives and providing public services. Therefore, it is essential that government officials and employees embrace the concepts of transparency and accountability for their use of public resources. An actual misappropriation of assets from embezzlement or wrongful spending or the mere perception of such acts through lack of transparency can be the downfall of public trust. An effective system of internal control must be put in place to keep the government on course toward its goals and objectives, to manage the risks associated with misappropriation of assets and to maintain and protect the public trust. ©

Take control of your ongoing printing costs

In today's economy, everyone is looking for ways to cut costs.

For most companies the ongoing cost of office printing, cost-per-print, routine maintenance, and service is an unexplored opportunity for significant, cumulative savings.

The Digi Group can show you how to capture those savings.

the digi group

8400 NW 39th Expy
Bethany, OK 73008
(405) 603-3545